# MECHANICAL STRESS SIMULATION OF THE EXPLOSION-PROOF ENCAPSULATION WITH CYLINDRICAL FRAME

## DANIELA PEAGU (FURDUI)<sup>1</sup>, FLORIN DUMITRU POPESCU<sup>2</sup>, ANDREI ANDRAȘ <sup>3</sup>

Abstract: The maximum internal pressure developed during the ignition of the explosive mixture with air within the encapsulation is directly dependent on the volume released through clearances or other discharge devices, if present. Consequently, the increase in explosion pressure must be correlated with the study of explosion-proof joints to prevent the transmission of the explosion through clearances to the ambient atmosphere. Through a comparison of defects observed during dynamic explosion tests and checks with static overpressure, a safety factor simulating the explosion demands has been identified. By checking the static overpressure at a certain pressure increase factor, the encapsulations are no less stressed than during an explosion. It has been determined that an overpressure increase factor of 1.5 times above the maximum reference explosion pressure value, during hydrostatic testing, ensures the mechanical stress of the encapsulation equivalent to the stresses resulting from overpressure through explosion. This paper presents the mechanical stress simulation of the explosion-proof encapsulation with a cylindrical frame using the SOLIDWORKS application.

Keywords: explosion-proof encapsulation, pressure, assembly, von Mises stress, deformation

# 1. THE MECHANICAL CALCULATION OF EXPLOSION-PROOF ENCAPSULATION

Experimental findings have established that the internal explosion pressure is dependent on the volume of the encapsulation and the components of the explosive mixture. As the encapsulation volume decreases, the explosion pressure decreases due to the increased cooling surface per unit volume of the encapsulation. When ignited by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ph.D. student, University of Petroşani, danafurdui2012@yahoo.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Professor, Ph.D. Eng., University of Petrosani, fpopescu@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Professor, Ph.D. Eng., University of Petroşani, andrei.andras@gmail.com

a spark of limited power, the explosion pressure is found to be within the range of 0.3 - $1.0 \text{ MN/m}^2$  according to Table 1.

The explosive mixture	The de (MN/r	The design pressure and test pressure magnitude (MN/m <sup>2</sup> ) at the free volume of the encapsulation						
category	Up to 0,5 l	over 0,51 – up to 2 l	over 2 I					
1 (Gr.l)	0,3	0,8	0,8					
2(Gr.llA)	0,4	0,8	1,0					
3(Gr.llB)	0,4	0,8	1,0					
4(Gr.llC)	0,6	0,8	1,0					

Table 1. Design pressures and test pressures for explosion-proof encapsulations

The main components of explosion-proof encapsulations are the cylindrical frame and the circular or planar bottom. The dimensions of the frame (volume and diameter) typically depend on the dimensions of the electrical elements or subassemblies of the machine or apparatus subject to encapsulation assembly (Figure 1).



Fig.1 Example of explosion-proof encapsulation (cylindrical frame)

#### 2. CONSTRUCTING THE MODEL OF THE EXPLOSION-PROOF ENCAPSULATION WITH CYLINDRICAL FRAME

The simulation of the mechanical stress on the encapsulations was carried out using the SOLIDWORKS application through the Simulation menu. Initially, an assembly was constructed based on Fig. 1, the dimension of which are presented in Figure 2.



Fig.2 The dimensional specifications of the model subjected to simulation

Using concentricity and coincidence constraints, we created the model shown in Figure 3. It's important to note that coincidence constraints were established between the areas with a diameter of 40 mm from Figure 2. These constraints simulate the fastening of the two parts of the explosion proof encapsulation with screws.



Fig.3 The model subjected to simulation

## 3. THE SIMULATION UNDER MECHANICAL (PRESSURE) LOADING OF THE MODEL

In accordance with Figure 4, we assigned the alloy steel material to the two parts of the assembly (Alloy Steel).  $$$_{\rm Material}$$$ 

🔚 AISI 1015 Steel, Cold Drawn (SS)	^	Properties	Tables &	Curves	Appearance	CrossHatch	Custom A	Application Dat	ta
8 AISI 1020		Material	properties						
🚰 AISI 1020 Steel, Cold Rolled		Materials	s in the def	ault libra	ary can not	be edited. You	ı must first o	opy the materi	al to
🚰 AISI 1035 Steel (SS)		a custon	r library to	eun n.					
i AISI 1045 Steel, cold drawn E AISI 304 AISI 316 Annealed Stainless Steel Bar (S		Model Type: Units: Category:		Linear Elastic Isotropic V SI - N/mm^2 (MPa) V		. ×			
						$\sim$			
				Steel					
🚰 AISI 316 Stainless Steel Sheet (SS)									
S AISI 321 Annealed Stainless Steel (SS) S AISI 347 Annealed Stainless Steel (SS)		Name:	A	lloy Stee	I				
		Default f	ailure N	Max von Mises Stress		. ×			
🚰 AISI 4130 Steel, annealed at 865C		Descripti	on:						
🚰 AISI 4130 Steel, normalized at 870C		Source							
🚰 AISI 4340 Steel, annealed									
🚰 AISI 4340 Steel, normalized	_	Sustainal	bility:	efined					
🚰 AISI Type 316L stainless steel					1	1			_
🗮 AISI Type A2 Tool Steel		Property Floating to de de de de			Value	Units			_
🔚 Alloy Steel		Elastic Modulus Deiscon's Patio			0.28	N/A			
i Alloy Steel (SS) Alloy Steel ASTM A36 Steel Cast Alloy Steel		Shear Modulus			79000	N/mm^2			
		Mass Den	isity		7700	kg/m^3			-
		Tensile St		723.8256	N/mm^2				
🚰 Cast Carbon Steel		Compressive Strength			N/mm^2				
Sin Cast Stainless Steel			ield Strength		620.422	N/mm^2			
🗧 Chrome Stainless Steel		Thermal Expansion Coefficient			nt 1.3e-005	5 /K			
8 Galvanized Steel	~	Thermal (	onductivity	·	50	W//(m.K)			>

Fig.4 The assignment and properties of the assembly

In Fig. 5, we displayed the fixed elements of the assembly, while in Figure 6, the applied load (pressure) acts uniformly on the interior walls of the assembly. The pressure value is  $p=1\times10^6$  [N/m<sup>2</sup>].



Fig.5 The fixed element of the model



Fig.6 The loading on the interior walls of the model

Figure 7 depicts the finite element mesh and its characteristics.



Fig.7 The finite element mesh and its characteristics

Figure 8 depicts the variation of von Mises stress following the calculations.



Fig.8 The spatial representation of von Misses stress variation

It can be observed that for the simulated model, the von Mises stress does not exceed the yield stress of the material from which the assembly is constructed, and the maximum value is localized near the attachment point of the explosion-proof encapsulation.

To obtain a clearer view of the von Misses stress distribution, we represented the variation of this quantity using the Plot Tools  $\rightarrow$  Section Clipping as seen in Fig. 9, where the clipping plane is frontal.



Fig.9 The variation of von Misses stress – representation in section according to the frontal plane

The deformation of the explosion-proof casing with the Deformed Shape is represented in Fig.10. It can be observed that the minimum deformation is in the area of the casing attachment, while the maximum deformation is in the diametrically opposite zone. The maximum deformation value is 0,032 mm.



Fig.10 The spatial representation of the deformation of the model subjected to simulation

Similar to the von Misses stress, the variation of deformation has been represented in a section according to the frontal plane, as shown in Fig. 11.



Fig.11 The deformation of the model subjected to simulation - representation

In Fig.12 and 13, the variation of the safety factor is presented.





Fig.13 Safety Factor - Representation in section according to the frontal plane

It can be observed that the safety factor is above unity for the entire surface of the explosion-proof encapsulation.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Results obtained from simulating the model of an explosion-proof encapsulation with a cylindrical frame under the pressure generated by an explosion inside, indicate maximum values of von Mises stress and deformation on the diametrically opposite side of the attachment zone. The simulation highlighted that the von Mises stress has low values in the contact surface zone of the two component parts of the assembly, leading to the conclusion that the explosion inside the analyzed model does not propagate towards the potentially explosive environment surrounding the casing.

#### REFERENCES

- [1]. Kurowski, P., M., Engineering Analysis with SOLIDWORKS<sup>®</sup> Simulation 2015.
- [2]. Popescu, F. D., Radu, S.M., Andras, A., Kertesz, I. Infografică, modelare şi simulare asistată de calculator – format electronic, Editura Universitas, Petroşani, 2020, ISBN 978-973-741-715-2.
- [3]. Radu, S.M., Popescu, F.D., Andras, A., Kertesz, I., *Transport si instalații miniere*, Editura Universitas, Petroșani, 2018, ISBN 978-973-741-587-5
- [4]. Sham Tickoo, SOLIDWORKS Simulation 2016: A Tutorial Approch, CDCIM Techologies, Schereville, Indiana 46375, USA.
- [5]. Tomus, O.B., Andraş, A., Andraş, I., Study of the dependence between the cutting direction relative to stratification and the digging characteristics of lignite in oltenia coal basin (Romania), 17th International Multidisciplinary Scientific GeoConference, vol. 17, Issue 13, 825-830, DOI: 10.5593/sgem2017/13/S03.104 (2017)
- [6]. Popescu, F.D. Instalații de Transport pe Vertical, Editura Focus: Petroșani, Romania, ISBN 978-973-677-182-8, 2010.
- [7]. **Popescu, F.D.** *Controls ways of the transportation capacity variation for the canvas conveyer.* WSEAS Transactions on Systems and Control, 2008, (5), p.393.
- [8]. Andraş, A., Radu, S.M., Brînaş, I., Popescu, F.D., Budilică, D.I., Korozsi, E.B. Prediction of Material Failure Time for a Bucket Wheel Excavator Boom Using Computer Simulation. Materials, 2021, 14, 7897. DOI: 10.3390/ma14247897.